Master's Degree in Law

Study Path: Organizations, Enterprises, Markets

Business contracts and new technologies

2022/2023, V year, II Semester, 6 ECTS

Prof. Maria Luisa Chiarella

Course	Master's Degree in Law (LMG/01) 5th year, Study Path: Organizations,
Information	Enterprises, Markets, II semester, 45 hours. A.Y. 2022/2023.
Professor information	Maria Luisa Chiarella, Associate professor of Private law (Health Sciences Department) Contacts: <u>mlchiarella@unicz.it</u> , 0961.3694920 Reception by appointment: Tuesday, h. 10.30, room 14, "S. Venuta University Campus", Legal-economic area building.
Course Description	The course deals with the main figures of business contracts contained in the civil code and complementary laws, in the light of the innovations that emerged on legislative and jurisprudential ground in recent years and of the doctrinal debate.
Course objectives and expected learning outcomes	The course aims to provide students with the fundamental notions of business contracts, with particular attention to the role of the <i>lex specialis</i> , constitutional rules and European law, the importance of jurisprudence and interplay with other legal disciplines. At the end of the course, the student must be able to describe the physiognomy of business contracts and the related discipline, must have acquired a method for analyzing and solving problems of easy and medium difficulty, must know how to use law language, must have acquired mastery of the main special laws that regulate the field.
Program (contents, methods of development); Possible distinction of programs between attending and non-attending students	The course deals with the analysis of contractual types functional to the exercise of enterprise with reference to both consumer and business-to-business contracts. Particular attention is paid: (i) to the examination of contractual asymmetries and to the identification of transtypical tools for the protection of the weak contractor in the event of inequality of bargaining power and (ii) to issues relating to contract law in digital markets. Variations to the program and to the study texts will be agreed with the attending students.
Estimate of the commitment required for individual study in terms of hours	150 hours.
Teaching methods	Lectures and seminars.

	-						
Learning	Suggested books:						
resources (recommended	CHIADELLA MI Contrattazione asimmetrica. Segmenti normativi e sestruzione unitaria						
textbooks, further	CHIARELLA M.L., <i>Contrattazione asimmetrica. Segmenti normativi e costruzione unitaria</i> , Giuffrè, 2016, pp. 45-222.						
recommended	QUARTA A., SMORTO, G., Diritto privato dei mercati digitali, Mondadori, 2020, pp. 179-						
reading, other							
didactic material)							
	225.						
	Successful too	diagon					
	Suggested rea	6	itto privato doi morcati dia	<i>itali</i> , Mondadori, 2020, pj	n 1		
	177;	, onorio, 0., <i>Din</i>	iio privaio aci mercani aigi	<i>iuu</i> , mondadon, 2020, pj	p. 1		
	GITTI G., MAUGERI M., NOTARI M., I contratti per l'impresa. I. Produzion						
	gestione, garanzia, il Mulino, 2012, chapters: 1, 2, 4.						
Support Activities	Reception activities and seminars.						
	Attendance is	not compulsory. Att	ending students will be	e able to take intermediat	te tests.		
Modality of Attendance							
Attendance							
		1	1	1			
	Grade	Knowledge	Ability to	Use of			
35 1 1.		and	analyze and	references			
Modality of Assessment of		understanding of the topic	synthesize				
knowledge	Fail	Severe	Irrelevant.	Completely			
		shortcomings and	Frequent generalizations.	inappropriate			
		shortcomings	Frequent generalizations. Inability to				
		shortcomings and inaccuracies	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize	inappropriate			
	18-20	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient.	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient				
		shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize	inappropriate			
	18-20	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings.	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities	inappropriate Sufficient			
		shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient	inappropriate			
	18-20	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses			
	18-20	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis,	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard			
	18-20	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard			
	18-20 21-23	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references			
	18-20	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory.	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses			
	18-20 21-23	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references			
	18-20 21-23	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard			
	18-20 21-23	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard			
	18-20 21-23	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are expressed	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard			
	18-20 21-23 24-26	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good knowledge	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are expressed consistently	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard references			
	18-20 21-23	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are expressed consistently The student has	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard references			
	18-20 21-23 24-26	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good knowledge	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are expressed consistently The student has considerable	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard references			
	18-20 21-23 24-26	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good knowledge	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are expressed consistently The student has considerable skills in analysis	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard references The student uses standard references			
	18-20 21-23 24-26	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good knowledge	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are expressed consistently The student has considerable	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard references The student uses standard references			
	18-20 21-23 24-26 27-29	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good knowledge	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are expressed consistently The student has considerable skills in analysis and synthesis	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard references The student uses standard references			
	18-20 21-23 24-26 27-29 30-	shortcomings and inaccuracies Sufficient. Important shortcomings. Basic knowledge Satisfactory. Good knowledge	Frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize Sufficient capabilities The student is capable of correct analysis and synthesis, argues logically and consistently The student has good analysis and synthesis skills. The arguments are expressed consistently The student has considerable skills in analysis and synthesis	inappropriate Sufficient The student uses standard references The student uses standard references The student uses standard references The student uses standard references			