

Law Degree Course, LMG/01,

LEGAL ETHICS

ALS, AA. 2022-2023

DR. ANDREA ROMEO

General Information	LEGAL ETHICS Academic Year 2021/2022, II, Second Semester, 6 CFU, 42 h.
Professor	Andrea Romeo, a.romeo@unicz.it; Room n. 2 – Department of Law, Economics and Sociology
Course Contents	<p>The course addresses the jurisprudential theories and concepts of advocacy, focusing on the analysis of main practical issues involved in legal practice and legal service. Understanding the scope and limits of legal ethics is essential for those who want to grasp the nature and concept of law. Particular attention will be paid to the topic of lawyers' legal interpretation.</p> <p>Frontal lectures, giving room for discussion and debate.</p>
Expected Learning Outcomes	<p>The course aims at providing the student with the fundamental topics of the jurisprudential legal ethics debate and making the student able to critically face the many practical issues involved in legal practicing.</p> <p>The course aims at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- providing an account of the contemporary jurisprudential debate on legal ethics, pointing out the connection with the general practical discourse- stressing the links between the concept of law adopted and advocacy;- pointing out the many philosophical and moral aspects of advocacy.- stimulating and improving the student's deliberative and practical reasoning skills.

<p>topics</p>	<p>Main topics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Theory of law and theory of advocacy; - Role morality - Advocacy, citizenship and <i>parresia</i>; - Legal positivism and advocacy - Legal interpretation and role-commitments
<p>individual study Time commitment</p>	<p>120 Hours</p>
<p>Teaching Methods</p>	<p>Lectures, seminars, debates, discussions, simulations</p>

<p>Didactic Materials and Teaching Resources</p>	<p>Recommended Didactic Materials and teaching resources:</p> <p>For attending and non-attending students:</p> <p>MASSIMO LA TORRE, <i>Libertà di parola</i>, Carocci, Roma, 2021.</p> <p>And one of the following additional boog proposed:</p> <p>1) A. ROMEO, <i>Deontologia e teoria del diritto. L'avvocato nel dibattito giusfilosofico contemporaneo</i>, Edas, 2015.</p> <p>2) I.Truillo, <i>Etica delle professioni legali</i>, Bologna, il Mulino,2013.</p> <p>Recommended readings (optional for all):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - K. KRUSE, <i>Avvocatura e teoria del diritto. Per una deontologia forense filosoficamente consapevole</i>, a cura di M. LA TORRE, Napoli 2015. - D. GIURIATI, <i>Come si fa l'avvocato</i>, Rubbettino, Soveria mannelli 2021. - F. PUPPO, <i>Il fondamento dell'etica professionale</i>, in <i>Cultura e Diritti</i>, vol. 2012, p. 73-82. - <p>Attending-students are to comply with the supplementary programme the will be provided during the course</p> <hr/>
<p>supporting student activities</p>	<p>Seminars and simulations will be scheduled during the lessons.</p> <p>Reception:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - by appointment, by sending an e-mail to a.romeo@unicz.it

<p>Course completion</p>	<p>Art. 8 of the “<i>Regolamento didattico di Ateneo</i>” (<i>University Handbook for Teaching</i>), provides a guide concerning how to attend lessons.</p> <p><u>Oral exam, with evaluation expressed in thirtieths plus possible praise</u></p>																															
<p>Assessment methods and Criteria</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="461 537 665 701">Graduation</th> <th data-bbox="668 537 895 701">Arguments Knowledge</th> <th data-bbox="898 537 1272 701">Ability to analyze and synthesize</th> <th data-bbox="1275 537 1513 701">References</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 705 665 875">Rejected</td> <td data-bbox="668 705 895 875">Serious shortcomings. Significant inaccuracies</td> <td data-bbox="898 705 1272 875">Presence of frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize arguments</td> <td data-bbox="1275 705 1513 875">insufficient</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 880 665 1005">18-20</td> <td data-bbox="668 880 895 1005">Threshold-level knowledge.</td> <td data-bbox="898 880 1272 1005">insufficient capabilities</td> <td data-bbox="1275 880 1513 1005">Barely sufficient</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1010 665 1093">21-23</td> <td data-bbox="668 1010 895 1093">Basic knowledge</td> <td data-bbox="898 1010 1272 1093">analyze and synthesize arguments skills</td> <td data-bbox="1275 1010 1513 1093">Standard references</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1097 665 1223">24-26</td> <td data-bbox="668 1097 895 1223">non-trivial knowledge of the topics</td> <td data-bbox="898 1097 1272 1223">appropriate synthesis and presentation skills</td> <td data-bbox="1275 1097 1513 1223">Basic references</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1227 665 1397">27-29</td> <td data-bbox="668 1227 895 1397">Appropriate knowledge of the topics</td> <td data-bbox="898 1227 1272 1397">Good synthesis and presentation skills</td> <td data-bbox="1275 1227 1513 1397">Thorough knowledge of the main topics</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1402 665 1556">30-30L</td> <td data-bbox="668 1402 895 1556">Advanced knowledge of the topics</td> <td data-bbox="898 1402 1272 1556">High synthesis and presentation skills</td> <td data-bbox="1275 1402 1513 1556">Detailed knowledge of all topics</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Graduation	Arguments Knowledge	Ability to analyze and synthesize	References	Rejected	Serious shortcomings. Significant inaccuracies	Presence of frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize arguments	insufficient	18-20	Threshold-level knowledge.	insufficient capabilities	Barely sufficient	21-23	Basic knowledge	analyze and synthesize arguments skills	Standard references	24-26	non-trivial knowledge of the topics	appropriate synthesis and presentation skills	Basic references	27-29	Appropriate knowledge of the topics	Good synthesis and presentation skills	Thorough knowledge of the main topics	30-30L	Advanced knowledge of the topics	High synthesis and presentation skills	Detailed knowledge of all topics
Graduation	Arguments Knowledge	Ability to analyze and synthesize	References																													
Rejected	Serious shortcomings. Significant inaccuracies	Presence of frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize arguments	insufficient																													
18-20	Threshold-level knowledge.	insufficient capabilities	Barely sufficient																													
21-23	Basic knowledge	analyze and synthesize arguments skills	Standard references																													
24-26	non-trivial knowledge of the topics	appropriate synthesis and presentation skills	Basic references																													
27-29	Appropriate knowledge of the topics	Good synthesis and presentation skills	Thorough knowledge of the main topics																													
30-30L	Advanced knowledge of the topics	High synthesis and presentation skills	Detailed knowledge of all topics																													