

**COURSE: FINANCIAL MODELS AND INSTRUMENTS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES**

A.Y 2025-2026, Course Year: II, I Semester, 10 ECTS Credits

**ANNARITA TROTTA, FULL PROFESSOR OF BANKING AND FINANCE**

<b>Course Information</b>	Financial Models and Instruments for Public Services (SSD: SECS-P/11) 10 ECTS – 60 hours: Prof. Annarita Trotta Lesson period: I Semester, September – December 2025 (A.Y. 2025/2026)
<b>Instructor Contact</b>	<b>Prof. Annarita Trotta, PhD – Full Professor of Banking and Finance</b> <b>Room n. 15 (DiGES). Email: <a href="mailto:trotta@unicz.it">trotta@unicz.it</a>; Tel.: +39 0961 3694975-6).</b> Website: <a href="https://www.diges.unicz.it/personale/docente/annaritatrotta">https://www.diges.unicz.it/personale/docente/annaritatrotta</a>
<b>Course Description</b>	The course focuses on various innovative and alternative financial models in the EU and their impact on the efficiency of public services. The course has a practical and theoretical focus. It envisages business case discussions, labs and seminars with experts (practitioners and policy-makers).
<b>Course goals and Expected Learning Outcomes</b> <b>(Knowledge and understanding;</b> <b>Apply knowledge and understanding;</b> <b>Autonomy of judgment;</b> <b>Communication skills;</b> <b>Learning ability)</b>	The aims of the course are: 1) to provide students with the knowledge and tools to better understand the fundamentals of sustainable finance and the characteristics of the impact investing field, 2) to provide students with tools and techniques to support financial decisions specifically in public administration institutions; 3) to train students with an innovative and alternative financial vision in order to contribute to the efficiency and transparency of public administration institutions and complex organizations. The expected learning outcomes are: i) adequate knowledge and understanding of the topics covered; ii) the ability to apply the concepts, methods and tools learned; iii) the ability to use and synthesise information; iv) adequate critical thinking.
<b>Program</b> <b>(Attending and non Attending students)</b>	Topics covered are: - Financial systems: a “law and economics” approach. - Sustainable finance and impact investing. - Trends of financial systems, with a focus on the post- Covid period. - Innovative and alternative financial models for public services. - Public-private partnerships in impact investing. - Civic crowdfunding. The case of the Municipality of Milan. - Impact Investments. - Social bonds. Green Bonds. Social impact Bonds. - Public sector and Pay by Results models.
<b>Teaching Methods</b>	- Lectures; - Case study discussions; - Seminars.
<b>Learning resources</b> <b>(textbooks, eventual further readings,...)</b>	<b>Textbooks:</b> © Trotta A., 2020, La finanza d’impatto. Analisi di una possibile rivoluzione, libreriauniversitaria.it Edizioni, Webster srl, Padova. © Social Impact Agenda per l’Italia, 2023, Impact investing. Sviluppare modelli sostenibili e finanziabili. Una guida per le imprese, la PA e il settore finanziario. Disponibile al link: <a href="https://www.socialimpactagenda.it/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/SIA-_Guida_Toolkit.pdf">https://www.socialimpactagenda.it/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/SIA-_Guida_Toolkit.pdf</a> © Amato Cameli S., Il crowdfunding civico e il futuro della Pubblica Amministrazione, 2019, in Rivista Italiana di Public Management, v. 2, pp.: 19-42 disponibile al link: <a href="https://www.rivistaitalianadipublicmanagement.it/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/RIPM_V2-N1_SFfocus_1.pdf">https://www.rivistaitalianadipublicmanagement.it/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/RIPM_V2-N1_SFfocus_1.pdf</a> © Social Impact Agenda per l’Italia, La sperimentazione di Social Impact Bond: sfide, opportunità e possibili applicazioni alla luce del PNRR, a cura di Annarita Trotta, WP n 8, 2022. Disponibile al link: <a href="https://www.socialimpactagenda.it/wp-">https://www.socialimpactagenda.it/wp-</a>

	<p>content/uploads/2022/08/4.-Paper-SIB_Trotta.pdf</p> <p><b>Further readings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comune di Milano: Avviso pubblico crowdfunding civico 2022. Disponibile al link: <a href="https://servizi.comune.milano.it/web/guest/dettaglio-contenuto/-/asset_publisher/pqxq/content/avviso-pubblico-crowdfunding-civico-2022">https://servizi.comune.milano.it/web/guest/dettaglio-contenuto/-/asset_publisher/pqxq/content/avviso-pubblico-crowdfunding-civico-2022</a></li> <li>• Bellavite Pellegrini C., Cannas C., 2022, Quali prospettive per una finanza sostenibile, in Analisi giuridica dell'economia, n. 1, pp. 239-261. Link: <a href="https://www.rivisteweb.it/doi/10.1433/104837">https://www.rivisteweb.it/doi/10.1433/104837</a></li> </ul> <p><u>Further teaching material will be available on the UMG e-learning platform.</u></p>																														
<b>Support activities</b>	Students will be supplemented by weekly tutorials dealing during A.Y.																														
<b>Attendance policy</b>	The attendance policy is established by art. 8 of the University teaching regulation: <a href="http://www.unicz.it/pdf/regolamento_didattico_ateneo_dr681.pdf">http://www.unicz.it/pdf/regolamento_didattico_ateneo_dr681.pdf</a> .																														
<b>Assessment Methods</b>	<p>The final exam will be oral.</p> <p>The final exam will be graded according to the following table:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th><th>Knowledge and comprehension</th><th>Analysis and synthesis</th><th>Use of bibliographic references</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Unsuitable</td><td>Important gaps/mistakes/lexical inaccuracies.</td><td>Irrelevant /inappropriate use of digressions and generalizations</td><td>Inadequate</td></tr> <tr> <td>18-20</td><td>Minimal orientation skills in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps.</td><td>Barely sufficient.</td><td>Barely sufficient.</td></tr> <tr> <td>21-23</td><td>Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge.</td><td>Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning</td><td>Correct.</td></tr> <tr> <td>24-26</td><td>Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability</td><td>Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning.</td><td>Correct.</td></tr> <tr> <td>27-29</td><td>Very good knowledge of the topics and critical understanding of the theories.</td><td>Very good capacity of analysis, and very good abilities to critically examine specific issues, with hints of originality.</td><td>. Able to focus on the subject logically and coherently.</td></tr> <tr> <td>30-30 cum laude</td><td>Excellent knowledge supported by outstanding orientation skills in the subject and profound critical thinking.</td><td>Excellent method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning with noticeable originality.</td><td>Excellent use of the of references.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>				Knowledge and comprehension	Analysis and synthesis	Use of bibliographic references	Unsuitable	Important gaps/mistakes/lexical inaccuracies.	Irrelevant /inappropriate use of digressions and generalizations	Inadequate	18-20	Minimal orientation skills in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps.	Barely sufficient.	Barely sufficient.	21-23	Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge.	Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning	Correct.	24-26	Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability	Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning.	Correct.	27-29	Very good knowledge of the topics and critical understanding of the theories.	Very good capacity of analysis, and very good abilities to critically examine specific issues, with hints of originality.	. Able to focus on the subject logically and coherently.	30-30 cum laude	Excellent knowledge supported by outstanding orientation skills in the subject and profound critical thinking.	Excellent method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning with noticeable originality.	Excellent use of the of references.
	Knowledge and comprehension	Analysis and synthesis	Use of bibliographic references																												
Unsuitable	Important gaps/mistakes/lexical inaccuracies.	Irrelevant /inappropriate use of digressions and generalizations	Inadequate																												
18-20	Minimal orientation skills in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps.	Barely sufficient.	Barely sufficient.																												
21-23	Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge.	Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning	Correct.																												
24-26	Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability	Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning.	Correct.																												
27-29	Very good knowledge of the topics and critical understanding of the theories.	Very good capacity of analysis, and very good abilities to critically examine specific issues, with hints of originality.	. Able to focus on the subject logically and coherently.																												
30-30 cum laude	Excellent knowledge supported by outstanding orientation skills in the subject and profound critical thinking.	Excellent method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning with noticeable originality.	Excellent use of the of references.																												